arXiv:1211.3465v1 [math.PR] 15 Nov 2012

REPRESENTATIONS OF THE FIRST PASSAGE TIME DENSITIES FOR STABLE PROCESSES

FERNANDO CORDERO

Abstract. Let $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a stable Lévy process of index $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and let T_x, for $x > 0$, denote the first passage time of X above level x. The absolute continuity of the law of T_x, as well as an expression for its density function f_{T_x} in terms of the entrance laws of the excursion measure of the reflected process at the minimum, can be deduced, in a more general framework, from recent results due to Chaumont. In this work, we give an alternative and elementary proof of the absolute continuity of the law of T_x which gives a new insight to the problem. Moreover, we obtain a new expression for the density f_{T_x} in terms of the variable T_x^0, which was introduced in a previous work as the limit of T_x when the overshoot tends to $0+$. Finally, we establish a relation with the process conditioned to die at 0, which permits to make the link between the expression for the density obtained in this paper and the existent literature on this topic.

1. Introduction

Let $X = (X_t, t \geq 0)$ be a stable Lévy process with index $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and positivity parameter ρ. This paper concerns the study of the law of the first passage time of X above a positive level x, namely, $T_x = \inf\{s > 0 : X_s \geq x\}$. Using the scaling property, it is easy to check that this law is related with the law of the past supremum $S_t = \sup\{X_s : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ by means of the following identity:

$$T_x \overset{\text{(law)}}{=} x^\alpha \, {S_1}^{-\alpha}. \tag{1.1}$$

It is a well known fact that the law of S_1 is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. A proof of this, as well as an integral representation for the density function f_{S_1}, can be found in [10, Theorem 4, p. 168] in the case of symmetric stable processes, and in [12, Theorem 1, p. 422] in the case of general stable processes. Thanks to (1.1), we conclude that the law of T_x is also absolutely continuous and that its density is given by:

$$f_{T_x}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\, x\, t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\, f_{S_1}(x\, t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \tag{1.2}$$

When X has no positive jumps, it is known that the density function of X_t, denoted by p_t, and f_{T_x} verify the Kendall's identity (see e.g. [3, p. 190] or [5]):

$$\frac{1}{x}\, f_{T_x}(t) = \frac{1}{t}\, p_t(x), \qquad x, t > 0,$$

thus, the known series representations for p_t (see [15, p. 87-89]) lead to series representations for f_{T_x}. On the other hand, when X has no negative jumps, infinite series representations for f_{T_x} can be deduced from those obtained in [2, Theorem 1] for

---

Date: November 16, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G52; Secondary 60G51, 60G40.

Key words and phrases. Lévy processes, stable processes, first passage times, scaling property, absolute continuity.

The author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the European Research Council (ERC) under grant agreement No. 247033.

f_{S_1}. More recently, in [13] Kuznetsov obtain series representations and asymptotic expansions for the density of the supremum for a large class of stable processes.

In the general framework of Lévy processes, Chaumont in [8] obtain necessary and sufficient conditions, under which the law of S_t is absolutely continuous. Particularly in the stable case, Chaumont exhibits a representation of the density function f_{S_1} in terms of the entrance laws of the reflected excursions at the minimum (see also [1]). Additionally, Doney and Savov in [11] obtain an equivalent representation in terms of the law of the terminal value of the dual stable meander of length 1. Similar representations for f_{T_x} can be deduced from these results by using (1.2).

In [9] the random variable T_x^0 is introduced as a first passage time above the level x, when the associated overshoot is conditioned to be equal to 0. Characterizations of its law are also provided. The main results of this work are (1) to provide an alternative proof of the absolute continuity of the law of T_x, (2) to establish, in an elementary way, a new representation for the density function f_{T_x} in terms of the law of T_x^0 and (3) to reobtain the representations of f_{S_1} presented by Chaumont in [8] and Doney and Savov in [11], from the aforementioned relations.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we start by giving some definitions and recalling some preliminary facts about stable processes. Then, we recall the definition of the random variable T_x^0 as well as some results concerning the characterization of its law.

In Section 3, we establish the asymptotic behavior around 0 of the distribution function of T_x^0, based on the tail distribution of the variable S_1 .

In Section 4, we show a simple relation between the Mellin transforms of the variables T_x and T_x^0. Using this relation, we give a direct proof of the absolute continuity of the law of T_x and simultaneously, we obtain the desired representation for its density.

In Section 5, we look to the process $(X_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ on $\{t < T_x\}$ conditioned on the event that the overshoot at time T_x is smaller than ε, and then we consider the limit when ε tends to $0+$. This approach provides a relation between the asymptotic law and the law of the dual process conditioned to die at 0. As a consequence of this relation and the expression of the density f_{T_x} obtained in Section 3, we reobtain both, Chaumont and Doney and Savov representations of the density f_{S_1}.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Definitions and notations.** Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}([0, +\infty))$ be the space of càdlàg paths $\omega : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\delta\}$ with lifetime $\varsigma(\omega) = \inf\{s : \omega(s) = \delta\}$ where δ is a cemetery point. Let $X = (X_t, t \geq 0)$ denote the coordinate process, and let $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$ denote its natural filtration.

Let P be the law on $\mathcal{D}$ such that the coordinate process X under P is a stable Lévy process with index $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, that is, under P, X has stationary independent increments and satisfies the scaling property of index α, which states that the process $(X_{at}, t \geq 0)$ has the same law as $(a^{1/\alpha} X_t, t \geq 0)$. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, P_x will be the law of the canonical process starting at point x, that is, the law of $X + x$ under P.

The characteristic exponent of X, $\psi(\lambda) = -\ln\left(E[\exp(i\lambda X_1)]\right)$, has the form (see [3], [18] and [19]):

$$\psi(\lambda) = c|\lambda|^\alpha \left(1 - i \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) \tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}(2\rho - 1)\right)\right),$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant and $\rho = P(X_1 > 0)$ is the positivity parameter. We are interested in the case when X has positive jumps. This condition can be expressed in terms of the parameters of X by the relation $\alpha\rho < 1$.

Let T_A denote the entrance time of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ of X:

$$T_A = T_A[X] = \inf\{s \geq 0 : X_s \in A\},$$

with the convention $\inf \varnothing = +\infty$. When the set A has the form $[x, +\infty)$ for some $x > 0$, we simply write T_x instead of $T_{[x,+\infty)}$, the first passage time of X above the level x. We denote the overshoot at time T_x by K_x, that is,

$$K_x = X_{T_x} - x.$$

We denote by $\widehat{P}$ the law of the dual process $\widehat{X} = -X$ under P. For $x > 0$, $\widehat{P}_x$ is the law of the dual process starting at x, i.e., the law of $x + \widehat{X}$ under P.

For $x > 0$, Q_x denotes the law on $\mathcal{D}$ of the process (X, P_x) killed when it leaves $[0, +\infty)$, i.e.,

$$Q_x\left(\Lambda, t < \varsigma\right) = P_x\left(\Lambda, t < T_{(-\infty,0)}\right), \qquad t \geq 0, \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

It is easy to check that the process (X, Q_x) is a Markov process. We denote its semigroup density by $(q_t, t \geq 0)$. For $x > 0$, we define the measure $\widehat{Q}_x$ as well as the semigroup $(\widehat{q}_t, t \geq 0)$ in the same way, replacing the process X by its dual $\widehat{X}$.

Let S_t and I_t denote the past supremum and the past infimum of X respectively, that is, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$S_t = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s \quad \text{and} \quad I_t = \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s.$$

It is well known that the reflected processes $R = S - X$ and $\widehat{R} = X - I$ are strong Markov processes (see [4]). Since the origin is always regular for $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, +\infty)$ for stable processes, we conclude that the origin is regular for itself for R and $\widehat{R}$. We can therefore define L and $\widehat{L}$, the local times at 0 of the processes R and $\widehat{R}$ (see e.g. [3, Chapter V]). We choose a normalization of the local times L and $\widehat{L}$ so that:

$$E\left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-s} dL_s\right) = E\left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-s} d\widehat{L}_s\right) = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Let τ and $\widehat{\tau}$ be the right continuous inverses of the local times L and $\widehat{L}$ respectively. By [3, Lemma 1, Chap. VIII], τ and $\widehat{\tau}$ are subordinators of index ρ and $1 - \rho$, respectively. Thus, under (2.1), their Laplace exponents are given by $\phi(q) = q^{\rho}$ and $\widehat{\phi}(q) = q^{1-\rho}$.

The Itô measure of excursions away from 0 of the processes R and $\widehat{R}$ are denoted by n and $\widehat{n}$. These measures are Markovian with semigroups $(q_t, t \geq 0)$ and $(\widehat{q}_t, t \geq 0)$ respectively. We denote by $(r_t, t > 0)$ and $(\widehat{r}_t, t > 0)$ the densities of the entrance laws of the reflected excursions at the maximum and at the minimum, i.e., for $t > 0$,

$$r_t(x) = \frac{n\left(X_t \in dx,\, t < \varsigma\right)}{dx} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{r}_t(x) = \frac{\widehat{n}\left(X_t \in dx,\, t < \varsigma\right)}{dx}.$$

For $t > 0$, $P^{(m,t)}$ denotes the law of the stable meander of length t, that is, the image of $n(\cdot | \varsigma > t)$ under the mapping $w \mapsto (w(s), 0 \leq s \leq t)$. The law of the dual stable meander of length t, $\widehat{P}^{(m,t)}$, is defined in the same way, replacing n by $\widehat{n}$.

2.2. **The density function of S_1.** As we stated before, Chaumont has proved in [8], that the density function of S_1 admits the following representation:

$$f_{S_1}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\rho)} \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{r}_s(x)}{(1-s)^{\rho}} ds, \quad x > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Doney and Savov proved in [11, Lemma 8], the following representation of f_{S_1}:

$$f_{S_1}(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{p}_s^{(m,s)}(x)}{s^{1-\rho}\,(1-s)^{\rho}} ds, \quad x > 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\widehat{p}_t^{(m,t)}$ is the density function of the terminal value of the dual stable meander of length t. Both representations are equivalent, and we can pass from one to the other by using the elementary identity:

$$\widehat{r}_t(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)}\, t^{\rho-1}\, \widehat{p}_t^{(m,t)}(x). \tag{2.4}$$

These representations are obtained by using the excursion theory for Lévy processes.

2.3. **The process conditioned to die at** 0**.** In this paragraph we recall the definition of the process conditioned to die at 0 in the particular case of stable processes (see [7]). For a more general and detailed treatment of this subject see [6].

According to the results of [16], the excessive version of the potential density of the subordinator $(-X_{\widehat{\tau}_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$ is harmonic for the process (X, Q_x), $x > 0$. Moreover, the function h defined by:

$$h(x) = E\left[\int_0^{+\infty} 1_{\{I_t \geq -x\}} d\widehat{L}_t\right], \quad x \geq 0,$$

is invariant for this process and the potential density of $(-X_{\widehat{\tau}_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$ is h'. For each $x > 0$, we denote $P_x^{\searrow}$, the law of the h-process associated with the semigroup $(q_t, t \geq 0)$ and the function h'. That is,

$$P_x^{\searrow}(\Lambda, t < \varsigma) = \frac{1}{h'(x)} E_x^Q \left[h'(X_t)\, 1_\Lambda\, 1_{\{t<\varsigma\}}\right], \quad t \geq 0, \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

This law was introduced in [6], where it is shown that this process approaches 0 at its lifetime. In other words, the process $(X, P_x^{\searrow})$ corresponds to the process (X, P_x) conditioned to die at 0.

Following the same procedure, but replacing the process X by its dual $\widehat{X}$, we can define the function $\widehat{h}$ with the analogous properties of h. Then, for each $x > 0$, we define the law $\widehat{P}_x^{\searrow}$ as the h-process associated with the function $\widehat{h}'$ and the semigroup $(\widehat{q}_t, t \geq 0)$.

In the stable case, the functions h and $\widehat{h}$ have the following explicit form (see [7]):

$$h(x) = c\, x^{\alpha(1-\rho)} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{h}(x) = \widehat{c}\, x^{\alpha\rho} \quad x > 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where c and $\widehat{c}$ are constants depending only on the respective normalizations of the local times L and $\widehat{L}$. A direct proof of these identities is given in [17, Proposition 2.3]. In section 5, we give an alternative proof, which allows to obtain explicitly the constants c and $\widehat{c}$ corresponding to the normalization (2.1).

2.4. **Some asymptotic distributions.** The law of the overshoot K_x is well known in the literature. In [14], Ray gives an expression for its density in the symmetric case. In [4], Bingham generalizes this result to the case when X is not spectrally negative (see also [17, Lemma 4.1] and [9, Corollary 1]). These results can be summarized in the following identity in law:

$$K_x \overset{(law)}{=} x\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\alpha\rho, 1-\alpha\rho}} - 1\right), \tag{2.6}$$

where $\beta_{\alpha\rho,1-\alpha\rho}$ is a beta random variable with parameters $\alpha\rho$ and $1-\alpha\rho$. As a consequence (see [9, Lemma 2]), the asymptotic behavior of the distribution function of K_x around $0+$ is given by:

$$P(K_x \leq h) \sim \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho)}{\pi(1-\alpha\rho)} \left(\frac{h}{x}\right)^{1-\alpha\rho} \quad \text{as} \quad h \to 0+\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Other results that we use in this work concern the behavior of the distribution of S_1 around $0+$ and its asymptotic tail distribution, which are given by ([4, Theorems 3a and 4a]):

$$P(S_1 \leq x) \sim k_0\, x^{\alpha\rho} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to 0+, \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$P(S_1 > x) \sim k_\infty\, x^{-\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to +\infty, \tag{2.9}$$

where k_0 and k_∞ are explicit constants, which can be expressed in terms of the parameters c, α and ρ as follows:

$$k_0 = \frac{1}{{c_1}^\rho\, \Gamma(1-\rho)\Gamma(1+\alpha\rho)}, \qquad k_\infty = c_1\, \Gamma(\alpha)\, \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho)}{\pi},$$

and

$$c_1 = c\,\left|\sec\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}(2\rho-1)\right)\right|.$$

2.5. **The asymptotic variable T_x^0.** In the rest of the section we recall some tools obtained in [9]. These results are the starting point to obtain a new representation for the density function f_{T_x}.

First, we introduce for each $x, \varepsilon > 0$ the random variable T_x^ε whose law is given by:

$$P(T_x^\varepsilon \in \cdot\ ) = P(T_x \in \cdot\ \mid K_x \leq \varepsilon).$$

The asymptotic behavior of these variables is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 ([9, Theorem 2 and Proposition 6])**.** *If $\alpha\rho < 1$, we have that for each $x > 0$, the family of random variables $\{T_x^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges in law as ε tends to $0+$. The limit is denoted by T_x^0 and its law is given by :*

$$P\left(T_x^0 \leq t\right) = \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{k_0\,\pi\rho}\, x^{-\alpha\rho} E\left[\frac{T_x\, 1_{\{T_x \leq t\}}}{(t-T_x)^{1-\rho}}\right].$$

In particular the law of T_x^0 admits no point masses.

Remark 2.2 (Laplace transform)**.** *An alternative characterization of the distribution of T_x^0 is given by its Laplace transform (see* [9, Proposition 5 and Theorem 2]*):*

$$E\left[\exp(-\lambda T_x^0\,)\right] = \frac{1}{k_0 \Gamma(1-\rho)\,\alpha\rho}\, x^{1-\alpha\rho}\, \lambda^{-\rho}\, f_\lambda(x), \tag{2.10}$$

where f_λ is the density of the law of $S_{\mathbf{e}_\lambda}$ and $\mathbf{e}_\lambda$ is an exponential variable with parameter λ, independent of X.

Remark 2.3 (Scaling Property)**.** *The scaling property for X entails:*

$$(T_{cx}, K_{cx}) \overset{(law)}{=} (c^\alpha T_x, cK_x),$$

and this property yields:

$$T_{cx}^0 \overset{(law)}{=} c^\alpha T_x^0.$$

3. DISTRIBUTION OF T_x^0 FOR SMALL TIMES

In this section we study the behavior of the distribution of T_x^0 for small times. More precisely, we establish the rate of convergence to 0 of $P(T_x^0 \leq u)$ when u tends to $0+$.

The function f_λ can be expressed as (see [9, Proposition 3]):

$$f_\lambda(x) = \frac{\lambda\alpha}{x} E\left[T_x \exp(-\lambda T_x)\right], \qquad x > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

For $x > 0$, we introduce the function $\ell_x : (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ defined by:

$$\ell_x(\lambda) = \lambda f_\lambda(x), \qquad \lambda > 0.$$

The next lemma establish the asymptotic behavior of the function ℓ_x at infinity.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $x > 0$, the function ℓ_x is slowly varying at $+\infty$, more precisely:*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} \ell_x(\lambda) = \frac{\alpha k_\infty}{x^{\alpha+1}}.$$

Proof. First note that using (3.1) and applying the Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_x(\lambda) &= -\frac{\alpha\lambda}{x} E\left[\int_{\lambda T_x}^{+\infty} e^{-v}(1-v)\,dv\right],\\ &= -\frac{\alpha\lambda}{x}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-v}(1-v)\,P\left(T_x < \frac{v}{\lambda}\right)dv,\end{aligned}$$

and then, thanks to the scaling property (or directly using (1.1)), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_x(\lambda) &= -\frac{\alpha\lambda}{x}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-v}(1-v)\,P\left(S_1 > \frac{x\lambda^{1/\alpha}}{v^{1/\alpha}}\right)dv,\\ &= -\frac{\alpha k_\infty}{x^{\alpha+1}}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-v}(v-v^2)\,U\left(\frac{\lambda^{1/\alpha}x}{v^{1/\alpha}}\right)dv,\end{aligned}$$

where $U(y) = \frac{P(S_1>y)\,y^\alpha}{k_\infty}$. By (2.9), the function U is bounded and $\lim_{y\to+\infty} U(y) = 1$. Thus, the result follows from the dominated convergence theorem. ☐

The next proposition states the behavior of the distribution function of T_x^0 for small times.

Proposition 3.2. *For every $x > 0$:*

$$P(T_x^0 \leq u) \sim \frac{k_\infty}{k_0}\,\frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{\pi\rho^2(1+\rho)}\,x^{-\alpha(1+\rho)}\,u^{1+\rho} \quad \text{as} \quad u \to 0+\,.$$

Proof. By (2.10), the Laplace transform of T_x^0 can be expressed as:

$$E\left[\exp(-\lambda T_x^0)\right] = \frac{1}{k_0\Gamma(1-\rho)\alpha\rho}\,x^{1-\alpha\rho}\,\lambda^{-(1+\rho)}\,\ell_x(\lambda).$$

So, according to Lemma 3.1, we have:

$$E\left[\exp(-\lambda T_x^0)\right] \sim \frac{k_\infty}{k_0}\,\frac{x^{-\alpha(1+\rho)}}{\rho\,\Gamma(1-\rho)}\,\lambda^{-(1+\rho)} \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to +\infty.$$

The result is a consequence of the Tauberian theorem (see [3, p. 10]). ☐

Remark 3.3. *By the scaling property,* (2.9) *can be rephrased in terms of the distribution of* T_x *as follows:*

$$P(T_x \leq u) \sim k_\infty\, x^{-\alpha}\, u \quad as \quad u \to 0+\,.$$

It is pertinent to note that the speeds of convergence of the distributions of T_x *and* T_x^0 *around* 0+ *are different.*

4. Absolute continuity and density representation

The purpose of this section is to provide an alternative proof for the absolute continuity of the law of T_x and to obtain a new representation for its density f_{T_x} in terms of the law of the random variable T_x^0.

4.1. **Mellin Transforms.** Note that, from (2.8) and (2.9) and the relation (1.1), we get that, for all $\gamma \in (-1, \rho)$,

$$E\left[{T_x}^{\gamma}\right] < +\infty.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.2, we have that for all $\beta \in [0, 1+\rho)$:

$$E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^{\beta}\right] < +\infty.$$

In fact, there is a simple relation between the moments of T_x and those of T_x^0, which is stated in the next proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *For all* $x > 0$ *and* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $\mathrm{Re}(\beta) \in (0, 1+\rho)$*:*

$$E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^{\beta}\right] = \frac{1}{k_0 \rho\, B(\beta, 1-\rho)}\, x^{-\alpha\rho}\, E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x}\right)^{\beta-\rho}\right],$$

where $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ *is the beta function. In particular:*

$$E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^{\rho}\right] = \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{k_0 \pi}\, x^{-\alpha\rho}.$$

Proof. By the scaling property of T_x^0 and T_x, it suffices to prove the result for $x = 1$. Let fix $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(\beta) \in (0, 1+\rho)$, by using the identity

$$x^{-\beta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-xs} s^{\beta-1} ds,$$

we have:

$$E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_1^0}\right)^{\beta}\right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{+\infty} E\left[e^{-sT_1^0}\right] s^{\beta-1}\, ds$$

and then, by using (2.10) and (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_1^0}\right)^{\beta}\right] &= \frac{1}{k_0\rho\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(1-\rho)} \int_0^{+\infty} E\left[T_1 e^{-sT_1}\right] s^{\beta-\rho}\, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{k_0\rho\,\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(1-\rho)} E\left[T_1 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-sT_1} s^{\beta-\rho}\, ds\right] \qquad \text{(Fubini's theorem)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\rho+1)}{k_0\rho\,\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(1-\rho)} E\left[T_1^{\rho-\beta}\right], \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. ☐

4.2. **Density representation of the law of T_x.** The relation between the moments of T_x and the moments of T_x^0 stated in the Proposition 4.1 is the key point in order to prove the next theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *For all $x > 0$, the law of T_x is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, +\infty)$ and its density is given by:*

$$f_{T_x}(t) = k_0 \rho \frac{x^{\alpha\rho}}{t} E\left[\frac{1_{\{T_x^0 \leq t\}}}{(t - T_x^0)^\rho}\right], \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. Recall that the moments of a beta variable of parameters $a, b > 0$ are given by:

$$E\left[\beta_{a,b}^p\right] = \frac{\Gamma(a+p)\,\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a+b+p)\,\Gamma(a)}, \quad \mathrm{Re}(p) > -a.$$

Then, the relation between the moments of T_x and T_x^0 obtained in Proposition 4.1 can be expressed as:

$$E\left[{T_x}^{-p}\right] = \frac{E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^\rho \left(\frac{T_x^0}{\beta_{\rho,1-\rho}}\right)^{-p}\right]}{E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^\rho\right]}, \quad \mathrm{Re}(p) \in (-\rho, 1), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\beta_{\rho,1-\rho}$ is a beta variable of parameters ρ and $1 - \rho$ independent of T_x^0. Let μ_x be the law of T_x and ν_x the probability measure on $[0, +\infty)$ given by:

$$\nu_x\left([s,t)\right) = \frac{E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^\rho 1_{\left\{\beta_{\rho,1-\rho}^{-1} T_x^0 \in [s,t)\right\}}\right]}{E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^\rho\right]}, \quad 0 \leq s < t.$$

The identity (4.1) says that the Mellin transforms of the measures μ_x and ν_x coincide on the strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}(z) \in (0, 1+\rho)\}$, which implies that they are equal. In particular :

$$P(T_x \leq t) = k_0 \rho\, x^{\alpha\rho} \int_0^t \frac{E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^\rho 1_{\{T_x^0 \leq st\}}\right]}{s^{1-\rho}(1-s)^\rho} ds. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that by using Fubini's theorem and the change of variable $u = T_x^0/s$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \frac{E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^\rho 1_{\{T_x^0 \leq st\}}\right]}{s^{1-\rho}(1-s)^\rho} ds &= E\left[\left(\frac{1}{T_x^0}\right)^\rho 1_{\{T_x^0 \leq t\}} \int_{T_x^0/t}^1 s^{\rho-1}(1-s)^{-\rho} ds\right] \\ &= E\left[1_{\{T_x^0 \leq t\}} \int_{T_x^0}^t \frac{1}{u(u - T_x^0)^\rho} ds\right].\end{aligned}$$

Applying Fubini's theorem to the right hand term and plugging the resulting expression in (4.2), we obtain:

$$P(T_x \leq t) = k_0 \rho x^{\alpha\rho} \int_0^t \frac{1}{u} E\left[\frac{1_{\{T_x^0 \leq u\}}}{(u - T_x^0)^\rho}\right] du.$$

Which conclude the proof. □

5. Relations with the process conditioned to die at 0

By definition, the construction of the variable T_x^0 uses only information of the joint law of (T_x, K_x). In this section, we consider the problem from the point of view of the process. That means, we look to the process $(X_s, 0 \le s \le t)$ on $\{t < T_x\}$ conditioned on the event $\{K_x \le \varepsilon\}$, and we study the asymptotic behavior when ε goes to $0+$. This approach provides a relation between the resulting limit process and the dual process starting at x and conditioned to die at 0.

5.1. **The process conditioned to die at 0 as a limit process.**

We start with a technical lemma, which will be useful for the forthcoming theorem.

Lemma 5.1. *For all $x, t > 0$ we have:*

$$E\left[1_{\{T_x>t\}}(x - X_t)^{\alpha\rho-1}\right] \le x^{\alpha\rho-1}.$$

Proof. Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[1_{\{T_x>t\}}\,(x - X_t)^{\alpha\rho-1}\right] &= \widehat{E}\left[1_{\{T_{(-\infty,-x)}>t\}}\,(x + X_t)^{\alpha\rho-1}\right] \\ &= \widehat{E}_x\left[1_{\{T_{(-\infty,0)}>t\}}\,{X_t}^{\alpha\rho-1}\right] \\ &= E_x^{\widehat{Q}}\left[1_{\{\varsigma>t\}}\,{X_t}^{\alpha\rho-1}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\widehat{c}}\,E_x^{\widehat{Q}}\left[1_{\{\varsigma>t\}}\,\widehat{h}'(X_t)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from the fact that the function $\widehat{h}'$ is $(\widehat{q}_t)$-excessive (see [6] and [16]). □

Now, we can state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 5.2. *For all $x, t > 0$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t$, we have :*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} P\left(\Lambda,\, T_x > t \,|\, K_x \le \varepsilon\right) = \widehat{P}_x^{\searrow}(\Lambda_x,\, \zeta > t),$$

where $\Lambda_x = \{w : x - w \in \Lambda\}$.

Proof. Fix $x, t > 0$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t$. Note that:

$$P\left(\Lambda,\, T_x > t \,|\, K_x \le \varepsilon\right) = E\left[1_\Lambda\, 1_{\{T_x>t\}}\, \frac{E[K_x \le \varepsilon \,|\, \mathcal{F}_t]}{P\left(K_x \le \varepsilon\right)}\right],$$

and then, by using Markov property, we obtain:

$$P\left(\Lambda,\, T_x > t \,|\, K_x \le \varepsilon\right) = E\left[1_\Lambda\, 1_{\{T_x>t\}}\, \frac{\psi(x - X_t; \varepsilon)}{\psi(x; \varepsilon)}\right], \tag{5.1}$$

where $\psi(y; \varepsilon) = P\left(K_y \le \varepsilon\right)$.

Using (2.7), we get that for all $y, z > 0$:

$$\frac{\psi(y; \varepsilon)}{\psi(z; \varepsilon)} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon\to 0+]{} \left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^{\alpha\rho-1}. \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover, by using the identity in law (2.6), it holds that for all $y > 0$,

$$\psi(y; \varepsilon) = \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho)}{\pi}\; y^{\alpha\rho}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha\rho} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(u\varepsilon + y)u^{\alpha\rho}} du.$$

Previous expression allows to prove that for every $y > 0$ and $\varepsilon \le z$:

$$\frac{\psi(y; \varepsilon)}{\psi(z; \varepsilon)} \le \left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^{\alpha\rho} \frac{(z + \varepsilon)}{y} \le 2\left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^{\alpha\rho-1}. \tag{5.3}$$

By (5.2), (5.3) and Lemma 5.1, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem in (5.1) to obtain:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} P\left(\Lambda,\, T_x > t \,|\, K_x \le \varepsilon\right) = E\left[1_\Lambda\, 1_{\{T_x>t\}} \left(\frac{x-X_t}{x}\right)^{\alpha\rho-1}\right]$$

Note that the right hand side can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[1_\Lambda\, 1_{\{T_x>t\}} \left(\frac{x-X_t}{x}\right)^{\alpha\rho-1}\right] &= \widehat{E}\left[1_{\Lambda_0}\, 1_{\{T_{(-\infty,-x)}>t\}} \left(\frac{x+X_t}{x}\right)^{\alpha\rho-1}\right] \\ &= \widehat{E}_x\left[1_{\Lambda_x}\, 1_{\{T_{(-\infty,0)}>t\}} \left(\frac{X_t}{x}\right)^{\alpha\rho-1}\right] \\ &= E_x^{\widehat{Q}}\left[1_{\Lambda_x}\, 1_{\{\varsigma>t\}} \left(\frac{X_t}{x}\right)^{\alpha\rho-1}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from the definition of $\widehat{P}_x^{\searrow}$ □

Corollary 5.3. *For all $x > 0$, the law of T_x^0 is equal to the law of the lifetime ζ of X under $\widehat{P}_x^{\searrow}$.*

Proof. Direct from Theorem 5.2. □

Remark 5.4. *Note that in Theorem 5.2, the left hand process has by construction an overshoot equal to zero at the level x. Meanwhile, the right hand process arrives continuously to the level x (see* [6]*). Thus, at least informally, we can see the random variable T_x^0 as a first passage time above the level x, when the process X is conditioned to cross the level x continuously.*

5.2. **Density representation of the law of T_x^0.** In order to prove the absolute continuity of the law of T_x^0 and to provide a representation for its density, we give an alternative proof for (2.5), which allows to compute the constants c and $\widehat{c}$ explicitly.

Lemma 5.5 ([6, p. 384])**.** *The functions h and $\widehat{h}$ are given by:*

$$h(x) = \Gamma(\rho)\,\widehat{k}_0\, x^{\alpha(1-\rho)} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{h}(x) = \Gamma(1-\rho)\, k_0\, x^{\alpha\rho} \quad x > 0.$$

where the constant $\widehat{k}_0$ is obtained replacing ρ by $1-\rho$ in the definition of k_0.

Proof. We give the proof for $\widehat{h}$. The proof for h follows the same lines.

For $q > 0$, we consider the function:

$$\widehat{h}^q(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} E\left[e^{-q\tau_\ell}\, 1_{\{S_{\tau_\ell}\le x\}}\right] d\ell, \quad x > 0.$$

Note that $\widehat{h}^q(x)$ goes to $\widehat{h}(x)$ when q goes to 0. On the other hand, it is possible to prove that (see the proof of [3, Theorem 18, Chap. VI]):

$$q\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-qt} P(T_x > t)dt = \phi(q)\,\widehat{h}^q(x) = q^\rho\,\widehat{h}^q(x),$$

and then, making the change of variable $u = qt$, and using (1.1), we can express this identity as:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-u} P\left(S_1 < x\Big(\frac{q}{u}\Big)^{1/\alpha}\right) du = q^\rho\,\widehat{h}^q(x),$$

dividing this by q^ρ, taking the limit when q goes to $0+$ and using (2.8), we obtain:

$$\widehat{h}(x) = \kappa_0 \, \Gamma(1-\rho) \, x^{\alpha\rho}.$$

The result yields. ☐

The following theorem states the absolute continuity of the law of T_x^0 and provides two representations for its density.

Proposition 5.6. *For all $x > 0$, the law of T_x^0 is absolutely continuous and its density $f_{T_x^0}$ is given by:*

$$f_{T_x^0}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha\rho \, k_0 \, \Gamma(1-\rho)} \, x^{1-\alpha\rho} \, \widehat{r}_t(x), \qquad t > 0.$$

or equivalently:

$$f_{T_x^0}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{\pi\alpha\rho \, k_0} \, x^{1-\alpha\rho} \, t^{\rho-1} \, \widehat{p}_t^{(m,t)}(x), \qquad t > 0.$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.3 and the definition of $\widehat{P}_x^{\searrow}$, we have that:

$$P(T_x^0 > t) = \widehat{P}_x^{\searrow}(\varsigma > t) = E_x^{\widehat{Q}} \left[\frac{\widehat{h}'(X_t)}{\widehat{h}'(x)} \, 1_{\{\varsigma > t\}} \right]. \tag{5.4}$$

On the other hand, by a result due to Silverstein ([16, Eq. (3.3)]), the potential of the measure $\widehat{n}$ is given by:

$$\widehat{n} \left(\int_0^{\varsigma} f(X_s) ds \right) = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x) \widehat{h}'(x) \, dx.$$

We conclude that:

$$\widehat{h}'(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \widehat{r}_s(x) \, ds.$$

Plugging this identity in (5.4) and applying Fubini's theorem, we obtain:

$$P(T_x^0 > t) = \frac{1}{\widehat{h}'(x)} \int_0^{+\infty} E_x^{\widehat{Q}} \left[\widehat{r}_s(X_t) \, 1_{\{\varsigma > s\}} \right] ds$$

Note that:

$$E_x^{\widehat{Q}} \left[\widehat{r}_s(X_t) \, 1_{\{\varsigma > s\}} \right] = \int_0^{+\infty} \widehat{r}_s(y) \, \widehat{q}_t(y, x) \, dy = \widehat{r}_{t+s}(x).$$

It follows that:

$$P(T_x^0 > t) = \frac{1}{\widehat{h}'(x)} \int_t^{+\infty} \widehat{r}_u(x) \, du.$$

The absolute continuity of the law of T_x^0 as well as the first identity in the statement are established. The second identity follows from the first one, by using (2.4). ☐

5.3. **Connexions with the existent literature.** As a corollary of the previous results, we obtain two representations for the density function f_{T_x}, which by means of (1.2), are equivalents to (2.2) and (2.3).

Corollary 5.7 ([8, Corollary 4] and [11, Lemma 8]). *For all $x > 0$, the density of T_x admits the following representations:*

$$f_{T_x}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha\,\Gamma(1-\rho)}\,\frac{x}{t}\int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\rho}}\,\widehat{r}_s(x)\,ds, \quad t>0,$$

and

$$f_{T_x}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{\alpha\pi}\,\frac{x}{t}\int_0^t \frac{\widehat{p}_s^{(m,s)}(x)}{s^{1-\rho}\,(t-s)^{\rho}}ds, \quad t>0.$$

Proof. The first representation follows by plugging the first expression of $f_{T_x^0}$ obtained in the Proposition 5.6 in the expression of f_{T_x} obtained in Theorem 4.2. The second representation is obtained from the first one by using (2.4). □

Doney and Savov provide in [11] the asymptotic behavior of the density $\widehat{p}_1^{(m,1)}$ at infinity and zero. These results and the Proposition 5.6 allow us to give the analogous results for $f_{T_x^0}$.

Corollary 5.8. *There is a constant $C_\infty > 0$, such that:*

$$f_{T_x^0}(t) \sim C_\infty\, x\, t^{-1-1/\alpha} \quad \textit{as} \quad t\to+\infty. \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$f_{T_x^0}(t) \sim \frac{k_\infty}{k_0}\,\frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{\pi\rho^2}\,x^{-\alpha(1+\rho)}\,t^{\rho} \quad \textit{as} \quad t\to 0+, \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. By scaling property and Proposition 5.6, we have:

$$f_{T_x^0}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{\pi\alpha\rho\,k_0}\,x^{1-\alpha\rho}\,t^{\rho-1-1/\alpha}\,\widehat{p}_1^{(m,1)}(x\,t^{-1/\alpha}).$$

From [11, Theorem 1], we know that, there is a constant $C > 0$, such that:

$$\widehat{p}_1^{(m,1)}(y) \sim C\,y^{\alpha\rho} \quad \text{as} \quad y\to 0+,$$

and that:

$$\widehat{p}_1^{(m,1)}(y) \sim \frac{\alpha\,k_\infty}{\rho}\,y^{-(\alpha+1)} \quad \text{as} \quad y\to+\infty.$$

The results follow. □

Remark 5.9. *If we knew in advance that $f_{T_x^0}$ was ultimately monotone, as $t\to 0+$,* (5.6) *would follows directly from Proposition 3.2.*

Remark 5.10. *The results of Corollary 5.8 can be compared to the analogous results for f_{T_x}, which state that (see* [11, Remark 5]*):*

$$f_{T_x}(t) \sim \rho\,k_0\,x^{\alpha\rho}\,t^{-(1+\rho)} \quad \textit{as} \quad t\to+\infty$$

and

$$f_{T_x}(t) \sim k_\infty\,x^{-\alpha} \quad \textit{as} \quad t\to 0+\,.$$

5.4. **An identity in law.** We end this work with an identity in law, which is in some sense, the analogue of (1.1) for T_x^0. In order to do this, note that from the asymptotic behavior of $\widehat{p}_1^{(m,1)}$ (see [11, Theorem 1]), it is straightforward to show that:

$$0 < \widehat{E}^{(m,1)}[X_1^{-\alpha\rho}] < +\infty.$$

Thus, we can define the probability measure $P^{(0)}$ on $\mathcal{F}_1$ by:

$$P^{(0)}(\Lambda) = \frac{\widehat{E}^{(m,1)}\left[1_\Lambda X_1^{-\alpha\rho}\right]}{\widehat{E}^{(m,1)}[X_1^{-\alpha\rho}]}$$

Corollary 5.11. *For all $x > 0$, the law of T_x^0 is equal to the law of $x^\alpha X_1^{-\alpha}$ under $P^{(0)}$.*

Proof. Let $g : [0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$ be a measurable function. By Proposition 5.6 and the scaling property, we have:

$$E[g(T_x^0)] = \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{\pi\alpha\rho\, k_0}\, x^{1-\alpha\rho} \int_0^{+\infty} g(t)\, t^{\rho-1-1/\alpha}\, \widehat{p}_1^{(m,1)}(x\, t^{-1/\alpha})\, dt.$$

Thus, making the change of variable $y = x\, t^{-1/\alpha}$, we obtain:

$$E[g(T_x^0)] = \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{\pi\rho\, k_0} \int_0^{+\infty} g\left(x^\alpha\, y^{-\alpha}\right)\, y^{-\alpha\rho}\, \widehat{p}_1^{(m,1)}(y)\, dy.$$

Or equivalently:

$$E[g(T_x^0)] = \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{\pi\rho\, k_0}\, \widehat{E}^{(m,1)}\left[X_1^{-\alpha\rho}\, g\left(x^\alpha\, X_1^{-\alpha}\right)\right]. \tag{5.7}$$

Taking $g = 1$ in the previous identity, we obtain:

$$\widehat{E}^{(m,1)}\left[X_1^{-\alpha\rho}\right] = \frac{\pi\rho\, k_0}{\sin(\pi\rho)}.$$

The result follows by plugging this expression in (5.7). □

References

[1] L. Alili and L. Chaumont. A new fluctuation identity for Lévy processes and some applications. *Bernoulli*, 3:557–569, 2001.

[2] V. Bernyk, R. C. Dalang, and G. Peskir. The law of the supremum of a stable Lévy process with no negative jumps. *Ann. Probab.*, 36:1777–1789, 2008.

[3] J. Bertoin. *Lévy Processes*. Cambridge University Press, 1996.

[4] N. H. Bingham. Maxima of sums of random variables and suprema of stable processes. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebeite*, 26:273–296, 1973.

[5] K. Borovkov and Z. Burq. Kendall's identity for the first crossing time revisited. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 6:91–94, 2001.

[6] L. Chaumont. Conditionings and path decompositions for Lévy processes. *Stochastic processes and their applications*, 64:39–54, 1996.

[7] L. Chaumont. Excursion normalisée, méandre et pont pour les processus de Lévy stables. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 121:377–403, 1997.

[8] L. Chaumont. On the law of the supremum of Lévy processes. *To appear in Ann. Probab.*, 2012.

[9] F. Cordero. On the scaling property in fluctuation theory for stable lévy processes. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 55(4):803–812, 2010.

[10] D. A. Darling. The maximum of sums of stable random variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83:164–169, 1956.

[11] R. A. Doney and M. S. Savov. The asymptotic behavior of densities related to the supremum of a stable process. *Ann. Probab.*, 38(1):316–326, 2010.

[12] C. C. Heyde. On the maximum of sums of random variables and the supremum functional for stable processes. *J. Appl. Probability*, 6:419–429, 1969.

[13] A. Kuznetsov. On extrema of stable processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 39(3):1027–1060, 2011.
[14] D. Ray. Stable process with an absorbing barrier. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 89:16–24, 1958.
[15] K. Sato. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge Studies in advanced mathematics, 1999.
[16] M. L. Silverstein. Classification of coharmonic and coinvariant functions for a Lévy process. *Ann. Probab.*, 8(3):539–575, 1980.
[17] K. Yano, Y. Yano, and M. Yor. Penalisation of a stable Lévy process involving its one-sided supremum. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 46(4):1042–1054, 2010.
[18] V. M. Zolotarev. Mellin-Stieltjes transformations in probability theory. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 2:444–469, 1957.
[19] V. M. Zolotarev. *One-dimensional stable distributions*. Amer. Math. Soc., 1986.

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Nordbergstrasse 15, 1090 Vienna, Austria.
E-mail address: `cordiery@gmail.com`